

369 East Park Drive Harrisburg, PA 17111 (717) 564-1121 www.hrg-inc.com



COMBINED TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD & POLLUTANT REDUCTION PLAN FOR CHRISTINA RIVER BASIN, GOOSE CREEK, EAST BRANCH CHESTER CREEK AND CHESTER CREEK WATERSHEDS WEST GOSHEN TOWNSHIP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

Section A – Public Participation

Section B - Map

Section C - Pollutants of Concern

Section D - Existing Loading for Pollutants of Concern

- Baseline Pollutant Load Calculations
- Parsed Areas
- Existing Pollutant Load Calculations

Section E – Wasteload Allocations (WLAs)

Section F - Analysis of TMDL Objectives

- Long-Term Reduction
- Short-Term Reduction
- Pollutant Reduction Requirements
- Proposed BMPs

Section G - Select BMPs to Achieve the Minimum Required Reductions in Pollutant Load

Section H – Identify Funding Mechanism(s)

Section I - Identify Responsible Parties for Operation and Maintenance (O&M) of BMPs

Appendices

Appendix A – Public Comment Documentation Appendix B – Mapping

- Township Storm Sewer Map
- Proposed BMP Location Maps
- Township Land Use Map

Appendix C – PADEP Municipal MS4 Requirements Table

- Municipal Requirements Table
- Pollutant Aggregation Table

Appendix D – Existing Pollutant Load Calculations

- Parsing Calculations
- Baseline Load Calculations (Mapshed Exhibits)
- Existing Load Calculations (Mapshed Exhibits)
- Existing BMP Information

Appendix E – Wasteload Allocations

- Christina River Basin WLAs
- Goose Creek Watershed WLAs

Appendix F – Proposed BMPs

- Pollutant Load Reduction Calculations (Mapshed Exhibits)
- Long-term Pollutant Load Calculations

Introduction

The following Combined Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Plan addresses how the Township of West Goshen, Chester County, Pennsylvania intends to meet the pollutant reduction requirements prescribed in the TMDL report dated June 30, 2008 entitled, "Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Load in Goose Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania," as well as the pollutant reductions prescribed in the TMDL report dated September, 2006 entitled, "Total Maximum Daily Loads for Bacteria and Sediment in the Christina River Basin, Pennsylvania, Delaware, and Maryland" as established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency Region III. The prescribed nutrient pollutant load reductions will be achieved using the "Presumptive Approach," focusing on sediment reduction as a means of measuring the effectiveness of the Best Management Practices (BMPs) proposed herein to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus loads.

This document was prepared following the guidance provided in the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) document 3800-PM-BCW0200d - National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Individual Permit to Discharge Stormwater from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (Ms4s) TMDL Plan Instructions, revised March of 2017).

GENERAL INFORMATION				
Permittee: West Goshen Township	NPDES Permit No.: PAI130532			
Mailing Address: 1025 Paoli Pike	Effective Date: February 13, 2004			
City, State, Zip: West Chester, PA 19380	Expiration Date: Administratively Extended			
MS4 Contact Person:	Renewal Due Date: September 16, 2017			
Rick J. Craig, P.E., CSM				
Title: Township Engineer	Municipality: West Goshen Township			
Phone: 610-696-5266	County: Chester			
Email: rcraig@westgoshen.org	Consultant Name: Erin Letavic, P.E. Harbert, Powland & Grubic, Inc.			
Co-Permittees (if applicable): N/A	369 East Park Drive Harrisburg, PA 17111 717-564-1121			

Located in eastern Chester County, Pennsylvania; West Goshen Township is an MS4 community (PAI 130532) currently in its second permit term. The entire township is classified as an Urbanized Area (UA) according to the United States Census Bureau's 2010 census. The western portion of the township lies within the Brandywine Creek Watershed and the central and eastern portions comprise parts of the Chester Creek and East Branch Chester Creek Watersheds.

The above mentioned Brandywine Creek Watershed is a sub-watershed of the Christina River Basin, encompassing approximately 2,362 acres in the western region of West Goshen Township. Many of the stream reaches within the Brandywine Creek Watershed have been classified by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection as impaired, including those located within West Goshen Township.

Goose Creek Watershed, a sub-watershed of the Chester Creek Watershed, encompasses approximately 1,488 acres in the south central region of West Goshen Township. Many of the stream segments within the Goose Creek Watershed have also been classified by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection as impaired, including those located within West Goshen Township. The EPA's Goose Creek Watershed TMDL Report establishes a Total Phosphorus (TP) TMDL for the Goose Creek Watershed and provides a total phosphorus Waste Load Allocation (WLA) to each of the MS4s in the watershed.

Further, the Township is required to prepare a pollutant reduction plan for sediment-impaired streams that discharge to the East Branch Chester Creek. Being that all of these surface waters ultimately drain to the Delaware River, and the goals for water quality can be accomplished at the same time, the planning area used to calculate sediment reduction goals and achievements combine the watersheds with TMDL and Appendix E-Siltation requirements.

Section A: Public Participation

A complete copy of this Combined TMDL Plan was made available for the public to review at the West Goshen Township Municipal Office from July 26, 2017 to August 25, 2017. The availability of the document was publicized in Daily Local News (August 1, 2017). The published public notice contained a brief description of the plan, the dates and locations at which the plan was available for review by the public, and the length of time provided for the receipt of comments.

A copy of the public notice is included in Appendix A. Public comments were accepted for 30 days following the publication date of the public notice. X Number of public comments were received. Copies of all public comments and the responses issued to each comment are included in Appendix A.

A public meeting was held on July 26, 2017 at West Goshen Township Municipal Building to present the information contained in this report to the public. Comments and questions regarding the Combined TMDL Plan were received during the public presentation. A copy of the meeting minutes for the meeting at which the Combined TMDL Plan was presented are included in Appendix A.

Section B: Map

The maps located in Appendix B of this report, depicts West Goshen's complete Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4), as required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Individual Permit to Discharge Stormwater from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) Application Instructions¹. West Goshen's urbanized area located within the Brandywine and Chester Creek Watersheds is to be considered the planning area for the purpose of this Combined TMDL Plan. The Planning Area encompasses the entire municipality, with the exception of a 715 acre portion of the Valley Creek Watershed (HUC 12 Code 020402050104), located in the northwest corner of the Township. The Valley Creek Watershed has been associated with no PRP or TMDL requirements due to the unknown source of impairment. The Combined TMDL Planning Area encompasses approximately 6,925 acres of land within West Goshen Township. All water courses, inlets, pipes, outfalls, observation points, existing BMPs, and proposed BMP locations within the planning area have been located and identified on the MS4 maps.

A Land Use Map of the Planning Area was developed using the most recent National Land Cover Database². The northern portion of the Township is largely residential with a large pocket of forested land approaching the center of the Township. The majority of Township's higher density mixed-use development is located in the center and western portions of the municipality near its boundary with West Chester Borough. The southeast quadrant of the Township exists as mainly medium density residential development.

¹ PADEP, form 3800-PM-BCW0200a, (rev. 1/2017)

² Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics (MRLC) Consortium, National Land Cover Database 2011 (NLCD 2011)

Section C: Pollutants of Concern

The pollutants of concern for the Planning Area were determined by referencing the PADEP MS4 Municipal Requirements Table³ (Table 1). The applicable sections of this table are included for reference in Appendix C.

Table 1. Pollutants of Concern by Watershed

Watershed	Pollutants of Concern
Goose Creek	TMDL - Nutrients (TP, TN)
Christina River Basin - TMDL	TMDL - Sediment (TSS)
East Branch Chester Creek	Appendix E - Siltation (TSS)
Chester Creek	Appendix E - Siltation (TSS)

Likely sources of these pollutants in the Planning Area are identified below.

Sediment (TSS):

- Streambank erosion
- Construction / earth moving activities
- Urban runoff
- Lack of adequate stream buffer

Nutrients (TN, TP):

- Lack of adequate stream buffer
- Heavy use of lawn fertilizers
- Agricultural activities
- Urban runoff

Since the Combined TMDL Planning Area includes the East Branch Chester Creek and Chester Creek Watersheds, the 10 % sediment load reductions prescribed by both Appendix E PRPs listed above will be achieved through the implementation of the Short-Term Goals listed in this Combined TMDL Plan. The ability to combine planning requirements is attained by PADEP in the TMDL Plan instructions ³.

³ PADEP, MS4 Requirements Table (Municipal) (rev. 6/26/2017)

⁴ PADEP, TMDL Plan Instructions (rev. 3/2017)

Section D: Existing Load for Pollutants of Concern

Baseline and existing pollutant load calculations were computed for the Planning Area using MapShed modeling software, version 1.5.0. MapShed is a "GIS-based watershed modeling tool that uses hydrology, land cover, soils, topography, weather, pollutant discharges, and other critical environmental data to model sediment and nutrient transport within a watershed."⁴ This program calculates the existing pollutant loading in terms of pounds per year and evaluates BMP-based pollutant reductions using the DEP - approved BMP effectiveness values⁵. All GIS data used to create the pollutant baseline loading model was sourced from the MapShed Download web site.⁶ The Mapshed modeling software was used to calculate the Township's existing pollutant loads discharging to the Upper and Lower East Brandywine Creek watersheds, as well as the Chester and East Branch Chester Creek listed in PADEP's MS4 Municipal Requirements Table, which necessitate Appendix E PRPs for siltation. Since both impaired creeks are included in the Combined TMDL Planning Area, the required pollutant load reductions for both impaired watersheds will be achieved through the implementation of the Township's Combined TMDL Plan, as suggested by PADEP's Pollutant Aggregation Table Instructions. A summary of pollutant loading for the Combined TMDL Planning Area is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Baseline Polluta	nt Loading for	Planning Area
---------------------------	----------------	----------------------

Wedersche J	Urbanized	Baseline Pollu	itant Loading (lbs./y	r.)
watersned	Area (Acres)	TSS	TN	ТР
Combined TMDL				
Planning Area	6,925	3,799,869	29,756	2,053

Mapshed modeling results for the Township's Baseline and Existing Pollutant Loads are included in Appendix D. Certain properties were parsed from the modeling area due to their individual stormwater quality obligations (Appendix D). A modest assumption that 15% of the existing streams in the Planning Area were adjoined by a forested buffer area, 35 feet in width, was made based on a review of satellite imagery from April 2016 and based upon local knowledge. A stream flow volume adjustment factor of 0.5 was used to calibrate the model and bring baseline sediment loads to a level consistent with those reported in the Christina River Basin TMDL Report. Existing detention basins were not included in the model, as Mapshed 1.5 offers no water quality benefit to standard detention basins. A 488 acre forested area northwest of the intersection of West Chester Bypass and Phoenixville Pike, is disconnected from the Township's MS4, and modeled as an area direct drainage. Runoff from the forested area drains directly to either Taylor Run, or an UNT to Taylor Run. Using Mapshed's Urbanized Area Viewer tool (UA Viewer), the Baseline Pollutant Loads for the West Goshen Township Combined TMDL Planning Area were determined (Table 4).

Evans, B., & Corradini, K. (n.d.). MapShed Overview Page. Retrieved August 18, 2015, from http://www.mapshed.psu.edu/overview.htm

^{6.} PADEP form 3800-PM-BCW0100m, revised 05/2016

^{7.} Evans, B., & Corradini, K. (2015) MapShed Download Page. Retrieved August 15, 2015, from http://www.mapshed.psu.edu/download.htm

a	Baseline Pollutant Load by Source				
Source	TSS	(IDS/yr) TN	ТР		
Land-Based Load	750,974	10,099	1,325		
In-Stream Load	3,048,895	1607	440		
Septic	0	64	0		
Total Baseline Loading	3,799,869	11,770	1,765		

Table 4. Baseline Pollutant Loading by Source

The Township's baseline pollutant loads are summarized by source in Table 4. The MapShed model results demonstrate that approximately 72% of the Township's sediment load or 1,574,978 pounds of sediment per year is attributed to streambank erosion. Land-based sources and land uses contribute a smaller percentage of the total sediment load, 28% or 601,643 pounds per year, but are greater contributors of nutrient loading.

Table 5: Existing BMP Sediment Load Reductions (Appendix D)

ВМР Туре	Location (Lat. / Long.)	Map Reference	HUC 12 Watershed	TSS Reduction (lbs./yr.)
Bicking Basin Retrofit	39,952347°, -75.570360°	EX-01	Chester Creek	56,800
Total Existing BMP T	56,800 lbs./yr.			

Existing pollutant load modeling calculations include pollutant load reductions from one existing BMP, EX-01 (Table 5). West Goshen Township conducted a detention basin retrofit on a large basin in 2009. The basin, known as the Bicking Basin, serves as the main stormwater management facility for a large residential development in the southeast corner of the Township. The 30,000 square foot basin manages storm runoff from the 128 acre drainage area located to the north and east of the basin. During the retrofit, the entire basin bottom was naturalized with amended soil and wetland plantings which are now mature. The existing corrugated metal riser was replaced with a new 24 inch diameter HDPE riser. The new riser provides extended detention with two one-inch circular orifices located 6 inches above the outlet invert and two additional one-inch orifices for each foot of vertical rise of the riser pipe.

Table 6. Existing Pollutant Loads

Source	Combined TMDL Planning Area Baseline Pollutant Load by Source (lbs/yr)			
	TSS	TN	ТР	
Baseline Pollutant Loading	3,799,869	11,770	1,764	
Existing BMP Load Reductions	56,800	157	24	
Existing Pollutant Loading	3,743,069 11,613 1,740			

The Combined TMDL Planning Area's existing sediment load was determined to be 3,743,069 pounds per year (Table 6). Existing load calculations are included in Appendix D.

Section E: Wasteload Allocations (WLAs)

West Goshen Township was assigned a Wasteload Allocation for total phosphorous stating that no more than 0.54 pound per day of total phosphorous shall be discharged from the Township's MS4 into the Goose Creek Watershed (Table 7). The WLA is listed on page 3-6 of the June 30, 2008 TMDL report entitled, "Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Load in Goose Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania."

Table 7.	Goose	Creek MS4	Waste Loa	d Allocations	(WLA)	and Rec	wired Reduc	ction:
1 4010 71	00000		The Loca		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	und hee	an ca necau	

MS4	Existing TP Load	TP WLA	Required
Permittee	(lb/day)	(lb/day)	Reduction
West Goshen Twp.	1.16	0.54	53.9%

*Current TP load as listed in TMDL Report. See Section D for recalculated Baseline Pollutant Loads.

West Goshen Township was also assigned a Wasteload Allocation for sediment stating that the discharge from the Township's MS4 shall contribute no more than 184 tons of sediment to the Christina River Basin Watershed (Table 8). The WLA is listed on page 4-16 of the 2006 TMDL report entitled, "Total Maximum Daily Loads for Bacteria and Sediment in the Christina River Basin, Pennsylvania, Delaware, and Maryland."

Table 8.	Christina	River Ba	asin MS4	Waste	Load	Allocations	(WLA)) and	Required	Reduction:
----------	-----------	-----------------	----------	-------	------	-------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------------

MS4 Permittee	Baseline Sediment Load (tons/year)	Sediment WLA (tons/year)	Required Reduction
West Goshen Twp.	470	184	60.87%

*Current sediment load as listed in TMDL Report. See Section D for recalculated Baseline Pollutant Loads.

Section F: Analysis of TMDL Objectives

<u>1. Long-Term Reduction:</u> West Goshen Township intends to achieve the required long-term pollutant load reduction goals prescribed by the EPA's Goose Creek Watershed and Christina River Basin TMDL Reports through continued implementation of the pollutant load reducing BMPs and educational activities over several future MS4 Permit terms. The Township will continue to review and revise the approved TMDL Plan and work to identify and develop future projects that will provide water quality benefits to the receiving waters of the MS4. Long-term load reduction requirements for each WLA-associated pollutant have been calculated for each watershed (Table 9.).

Watershed	Impairment	Existing Pollutant Load*	Percent Reduction Required	Long-Term Pollutant Loading Goal
Christina River Basin	Sediment / Siltation	828,378 lbs./yr.	60.87%	324,144 lbs./yr. TSS
Goose Creek Watershed	Total Phosphorus	1,078 lbs./yr.	53.9%	497 lbs./yr. TP

Table 9: Long-Term Pollutant Load Reduction (Appendix F)

*Based on individual watershed, not Combined TMDL Planning Area

<u>2. Short-Term Reduction</u>: Utilizing the "Presumptive Approach," as described in PADEP's TMDL Plan Instruction Document 3800-PM-BCW0200d, West Goshen Township intends to achieve the required short-term sediment load reduction goals through construction, operation and maintenance of the five pollutant load reducing BMPs proposed herein. The BMPs have been located throughout the Planning Area to achieve sediment load reductions in both TMDL watersheds, as well as the two impaired Appendix E, PRP watersheds. Short-term sediment load reduction requirements have been quantified for the Combine TMDL Planning Area (Table 10).

 Table 10: Short-Term Pollutant Load Reduction (Appendix F)

Watershed	Impairment	Existing Pollutant Load**	Percent Reduction Required	Reduction Required (lbs./yr.)	Short-Term Pollutant Loading Goal (lbs./yr.)
Combined TMDL Planning Area	Sediment / Siltation	3,743,069	10%	374,307	3,368,762

**Based on Combined TMDL Planning Area calculated using Mapshed modeling software

Section G: Select BMPs to Achieve Minimum Required Reductions

1. Short-Term Reductions for Permit Term:

The following BMP strategy represents an effective approach to meeting the required reduction goals of the Short-term TMDL requirements for the Goose Creek and Christina River Basin Watersheds, as well as the load reductions required by the Appendix E PRPs for Chester Creek and East Branch Chester Creek Watersheds. The proposed BMPs include streambank stabilization, riparian forest buffer restoration, and detention basin retrofits throughout the Township's urbanized area. The sediment load reductions achieved through the implementation of the proposed BMPs described herein were determined through the use of the same MapShed model used to determine the Township's Baseline and Existing Sediment Loads.

ВМР Туре	Location (Lat. / Long.)	Map Reference	Watershed	TSS Reduction (lbs/yr)
Hamilton Drive Detention Basin Retrofit	39.995733° , -75.611727°	BMP-01	Lower East Branch Brandywine	13,800
Farren Drive Detention Basin Retrofit	39.998006° , -75.612304°	BMP-02	Lower East Branch Brandywine	13,200
Hagerty Lane Stream Restoration	39.948947° , -75.581787°	BMP-03	Chester Creek	132,250
Westtown Road Stream Restoration	39.958095°, -75.584041°	BMP-04	Chester Creek	198,375
Westtown Road Detention Basin Retrofits & Constructed Wetlands	39.958095°, -75.584041°	BMP-05	Chester Creek	20,600
Total Reduction Achieved				378,225 lbs./yr.
Required Reduction				374,307 lbs./yr.

Table 11: Proposed BMPs for Short-term Sediment Load Reduction Strategy (Appendix F)

BMP Selection Process

The results of the Mapshed model demonstrates that the majority of the sediment load generated within the Urbanized Area of West Goshen Township originates from streambank erosion. As such, BMPs including streambank stabilization, floodplain reconnection, and riparian buffer restoration were selected to address the instream erosion issues, in addition to land-based BMPs, such as bio-retention, and constructed wetlands. BMP locations came as a result of a feasibility investigation performed in the spring of 2015 in which representatives of West Goshen Township and HRG identified candidate BMP locations that offered the greatest potential for sediment load reduction in locations that the Township felt property owners would likely be cooperative. BMP location maps are included in Appendix B.

Proposed Streambank Stabilization, Velocity Reduction, and Buffer Restoration BMPs

Streambank stabilization prevents further erosion and degradation of disturbed or cut back streambanks, ultimately resulting in lower sediment and nutrient loads being released into the stream. Where practical, the Township will implement vegetative streambank stabilization to promote plant uptake of pollutant laden runoff in order to reduce the amount of nutrients and sediment eventually reaching the local waterways. Vegetative stabilization relies on the root structures of established plantings to stabilize the streambank and provide scour protection. In addition, incised streambanks will be regraded at a lesser slope to prevent further incision by allowing the stream to reconnect to the surrounding floodplain. This method offers a relatively inexpensive means of stabilization and provides a naturalized appearance to the rehabilitated streambank.

Velocity reduction, where practical, will be achieved through the use of rock vanes, wing deflectors, and grade controls in combination with streambank stabilization, riparian buffer projects, and floodplain reconnection. These instream structures will direct stream flow away from eroding or newly stabilized streambanks, as well as create stream meanders that will reduce stream velocity, further preventing streambank erosion and scour. The structures will be constructed of natural materials such as rock, root wads, and logs. The exact number and locations for the proposed instream structures will be determined upon approval of the Combined TMDL Plan during the completion of the engineered design.

West Goshen Township intends to perform riparian buffer restoration on the segments of stream to be stabilized. The goal of the riparian buffer projects is to naturalize the existing floodplain and reestablish buffer areas along the stream segments to a minimum width of 35 feet. The restorations will include the removal and replacement of dead, diseased, and/or invasive vegetation; as well as new plantings in areas where buffers have diminished in size. The riparian buffer restoration projects will be implemented concurrently with the stabilization projects in order to maximize the nutrient load reduction potential of each segment of stream to be enhanced, and will incorporated into the engineered design.

Proposed BMP-03 and a portion of BMP-04 will contribute to approximately 2,875 feet of restored stream and enhanced buffer in the Combined TMDL Planning Area, greatly reducing the amount of sedimentation due to instream erosion.

Detention Basin Retrofit

BMP-01 and BMP-02 are proposed detention basin retrofits. The existing basins serve as the main stormwater management facilities for two adjoining neighborhoods in northcentral portion of the Township. The existing basins offer no water quality benefits, other than minor settling, as they are simply detention, designed for rate control. BMP-04, adjacent to the West Chester Sports Center, also entails a large detention basin retrofit, along with the possibility to incorporate constructed wetlands into a smaller adjoining detention area. The project will be paired with a stream restoration project at the same location.

Detention basins are relatively simple basins designed to receive, temporarily hold, and discharge stormwater at a controlled rate. While they can provide rate and volume mitigation, detention basins offer limited water quality benefit. Detention basin retrofits transform these simple catch, store, and release ponds into BMPs which provide infiltration, bioretention, and improved sediment and nutrient removal capabilities. This is achieved by extending the storage time with structure modifications, improving soil conditions to allow for greater infiltration rates, and naturalizing the basins with native and/or wetland plant species.

West Goshen Township conducted a detention basin retrofit on a large basin in 2009. The basin, known as the Bicking Basin, serves as the main stormwater management facility for a large residential development in the southeast corner of the Township. Finding that the retrofitted basin produced substantial water quality and aesthetic value, the Township expressed interest in conducting more retrofits in order to achieve the sediment reduction requirements mandated by the TMDLs and PRPs. The Township is proposing to perform two additional detention basin retrofits at locations within the Combined TMDL Planning Area (Table 11). While the extent and nature of the retrofits will rely on the results of future engineering investigations, each basin retrofit will reduce the quantity and increase the quality of the stormwater runoff reaching the impaired streams. For modeling purposes, the fraction of area treated values for each retrofit were taken as a percentage of the basin's respective sewershed. The locations of the proposed detention basin retrofit projects are displayed on the location map in Appendix B.

Short-Term BMP Implementation Schedule

A preliminary implementation schedule has been provided (Table 12); however, construction of the proposed BMPs may rely on the results of the engineering investigation, design, and permitting process. The proposed stream restoration projects will likely require a Joint Permit Application (JPA) and will be subject to PADEP and United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) review. The Township recognizes their ability to review and revise their Short-term sediment reduction strategy and may elect to do so in accordance with PADEP regulations. Any revisions to the Combined TMDL Plan will be appropriately reported to all applicable regulatory agencies.

ВМР Туре	Location (Lat. / Long.)	Map Reference	Permitting & Engineering Design (Permit Year)	Construction (Permit Year)
Hamilton Drive Detention Basin	39.995733°,	BMD 01	1	r
Retrofit	-75.611727°	Divir -01	1	2
Farren Drive Detention Basin	39.998006°,	BMD 02	1	r
Retrofit	-75.612304°	Divir -02	1	2
Hagerty Lane Stream Restoration	39.948947°,	BMD 03	r	3
Hagerty Lane Stream Restoration	-75.581787°	Divit -03	2	5
Westtown Road Stream	39.958095°,	BMD 04	3	15
Restoration	-75.584041°	DMI -04	5	4-3
Westtown Road Detention Basin	39.958095°,	DMD 05	2	15
Retrofits & Constructed Wetlands	-75.584041°	DIVIP-03	3	4-3

 Table 12: Implementation Schedule for Proposed Short-term BMPs

2. Long-Term Reductions to Meet WLA(s):

As previously stated, West Goshen Township intends to achieve the required long-term pollutant load reduction goals prescribed by the WLAs included in the EPA's Goose Creek Watershed and Christina River Basin TMDL Reports through continued implementation of the pollutant load reducing BMPs and educational activities over several future MS4 Permit terms. The Township will continue to implement pollutant reducing BMPs in order to achieve the required pollutant reductions necessary to the WLAs for both the Goose Creek Watershed and the Christina River Basin Watershed. West Goshen Township submitted a MS4 TMDL Strategy for both impaired watersheds in 2015, in which the Township identified numerous potential projects that upon successful construction, could achieve each impaired watershed's respective WLA. The Township will continue to use the original strategies as a source of identifying future project locations, and will recalculate the pollutant load reductions associated with each project based on the latest PADEP-approved pollutant removal efficiencies.

Watershed	Impairment	Short-term Load Reduction (lbs./yr.)	Short-term Load Reduction (%)	Long-Term Load Reduction Goal	Remaining Reduction Required (lbs./yr.)
Christina River Basin	TSS	217,368	26%.	504,233.5	286,865.9
Goose Creek Watershed	TP	62.8 ***	5%	581.2 lbs./yr.	518.4

Table 13: Long-Term Pollutant Load Reduction (Appendix F)

***Based on correlation made under "Presumptive Approach," 10% TSS reduction equivalent to 5% reduction in TP.

Based on the Short-term pollutant loads expected to be achieved during the first permit term (Table 12), a preliminary timeframe of when the Township could likely meet the required long-term pollutant reductions of the TMDLs can be projected. Pending future guidance by PADEP, the Township will continue forward with the goal of achieving pollutant load reductions similar to those proposed for the first permit term as described under the Short-term Pollutant goals. At the continued pace of the Short-term pollutant load reduction goals, the Township will look to achieve the 60.87% sediment load reduction for the Christina River Basin upon completion of the third 5-year permit term. The more difficult to achieve 53.9% reduction of total phosphorus may be achieved by the end of the sixth 5-year permit term.

Section H: Funding Mechanisms

The design and construction of the BMPs proposed herein will be funded through a variety of sources including collected stormwater fees, Township general funds, available grants, and public donation of materials and manpower. The proposed forest buffer projects may be constructed, at least in part, by Township staff and/or civic and volunteer groups in order to lessen the overall cost of implementing the Combined TMDL Plan.

Section I: Operation & Maintenance (O&M)

O&M requirements for the streambank stabilization and buffer restoration projects shall include:

- Ensure disturbed areas are kept free of foot and/or vehicular traffic until full stabilization has occurred year round
- Regular watering of plantings during first growing season. Planting in the fall may reduce the need for additional watering seasonally
- Conduct site visits to ensure plantings are healthy and sufficiently watered, weeds are properly managed, sufficient mulch is in place until site is stabilized and planting have become established monthly
- Conduct site visits to ensure all disturbed earth remains stabilized and erosion or cutting of the streambank has not taken place. Any destabilized earth or active streambank erosion shall be repaired immediately upon discovery monthly
- Conduct inspections once streambank is stabilized and plants have become established biannually
- Immediately upon notice; repair any rills, gullies, or streambank cutting that may occur year round
- Remove weeds and invasive plant species during each growing season. Naturally growing native vegetation should be left intact to promoted stabilization of the streambank and surrounding area seasonally
- Replace mulch as needed biannually
- Remove accumulated trash and debris monthly
- Remove and replace dead and diseased plantings biannually
- Keep machinery and vehicles away from stabilized areas year round

O&M requirements for the retrofit bio-retention basins shall continue to include:

- Conduct regular inspections until site is stabilized and plantings are established -monthly
- Immediately upon notice, repair and erosion issues in the basin year round
- Remove and replace dead of diseased plantings biannually
- Remove weeds and invasive species from the basin quarterly
- Remove accumulated sediment and debris monthly
- Mulch as necessary biannually
- Use no chemical herbicides or pesticides year round
- Maintain a "No Mow Zone" around the perimeter of the basin year round
- Ensure outlet structures remain unobstructed and free of debris monthly

The contractor shall be responsible for the operation and maintenance of the streambank restoration and buffer project(s) until all features of the project have been successfully constructed to the specifications and design standards set forth by the Township Engineer. The Contractor shall remain responsible for operation and maintenance of the streambank restoration and buffer project(s) until 70% permanent vegetative stabilization has been achieved. Once construction of the project(s) is complete and stabilization has occurred, the Township shall be responsible for implementing all Operation and Maintenance procedures

to ensure the streambank stabilization and buffer improvements remained operationally functional and physically consistent with the original design.

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B















APPENDIX C

MS4 Name	Permit Number	HUC 12 Name	Impaired Downstream Waters or Applicable TMDL Name	Requirement(s)
Chester County				
WEST BRADFORD TWP	PAI130511	Lower West Branch Brandywine Creek, Upper West Branch Brandywine Creek	Christina River Basin Nutrients, Christina River Basin Sediment	TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O., Siltation, Suspended Solids
		Upper West Branch Brandywine Creek	West Branch Brandywine Creek	Appendix C-PCB
		Beaver Creek, Lower East Branch Brandywine Creek	Christina River Basin Nutrients, Christina River Basin Sediment	TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O., Siltation, Suspended Solids
		Upper Brandywine Creek	Christina River Basin Nutrients, Christina River Basin Sediment	TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O., Siltation, Suspended Solids
WEST BRANDYWINE TWP	PAI130544	Upper West Branch Brandywine Creek	Christina River Basin Nutrients, West Branch Brandywine Creek	Appendix C-PCB, Appendix E-Siltation, TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O.
		Upper East Branch Brandywine Creek	Culbertson Run	Appendix E-Siltation
		Beaver Creek, Lower East Branch Brandywine Creek, Upper East Branch Brandywine Creek	Christina River Basin Nutrients	TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O.
WEST CALN TWP	PAG130145	Upper West Branch Brandywine Creek	Christina River Basin Nutrients, Christina River Basin Sediment, West Branch Brandywine Creek	Appendix C-PCB, TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O., Siltation, Suspended Solids
		Headwaters Pequea Creek	Chesapeake Bay Nutrients\Sediment, Indian Spring Run, Pequea Creek	Appendix D-Siltation/Nutrients, Appendix E-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O., Siltation
WEST CHESTER BORO	PAG130002	Lower East Branch Brandywine Creek	Blackhorse Run, Taylor Run	Appendix E-Siltation
		Upper Brandywine Creek	Brandywine Creek, Plum Run	Appendix E-Siltation
		Chester Creek	Chester Creek, Goose Creek TMDL	Appendix B-Pathogens, Appendix E-Siltation, TMDL Plan- Nutrients
WEST GOSHEN TWP	PAI130532			
		Middle Brandywine Creek, Upper Brandywine Creek	Christina River Basin Sediment	TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Siltation, Suspended Solids
		Chester Creek	Chester Creek, Goose Creek TMDL	Appendix B-Pathogens, TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Siltation, Suspended Solids
		Lower East Branch Brandywine Creek, Valley Creek	Christina River Basin Sediment	TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Siltation, Suspended Solids
		Chester Creek, East Branch Chester Creek	Chester Creek, East Branch Chester Creek	Appendix E-Siltation
WEST GROVE BORO	PAG130144	East Branch White Clay Creek, Middle Branch White Clay Creek, Upper White Clay Creek	Christina River Basin Nutrients, Christina River Basin Sediment, East Branch White Clay Creek, Middle Branch White Clay Creek	Appendix B-Pathogens, TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O., Siltation, Suspended Solids
WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP				
		North East Creek	Chesapeake Bay Nutrients\Sediment, North East Creek	Appendix D-Siltation/Nutrients, Appendix E-Siltation
		Basin Run-Octoraro Creek, Tweed Creek-Octoraro Creek	Chesapeake Bay Nutrients\Sediment	Appendix D-Siltation/Nutrients
WEST PIKELAND TWP	PAI130531	Pickering Creek	Pickering Creek	Appendix B-Pathogens
WEST SADSBURY TWP	PAG130170	- Muddy Run-East Branch Octoraro Creek, Pine Creek, Valley Creek-East Branch Octoraro Creek	Chesapeake Bay Nutrients\Sediment, East Branch Octoraro Creek, Pine Creek, Unnamed Tributaries to East Branch Octoraro Creek, Valley Creek	Appendix D-Siltation/Nutrients, Appendix E-Nutrients, Siltation

MS4 Name	NPDES ID	Individual Permit Required?	Reason	Impaired Downstream Waters or Applicable TMDL Name	Requirement(s)	Other Cause(s) of Impairment
Chester County		-				
WEST BRANDYWINE TWP	PAI130544	Yes	TMDL Plan, SP, IP			
				West Branch Brandywine Creek	Appendix C-PCB (4a), Appendix E-Siltation (4a)	Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				Beaver Creek		Cause Unknown (4a), Other Habitat Alterations, Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				Christina River Basin Nutrients	TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O. (4a)	
				Culbertson Run	Appendix E-Siltation (4a)	Other Habitat Alterations (4c)
				Unnamed Tributaries to West Branch Brandywine Creek		Cause Unknown (4a)
				East Branch Brandywine Creek		Cause Unknown (4a), Other Habitat Alterations, Water/Flow Variability (4c)
WEST CALN TWP	PAG130145	Yes	TMDL Plan, SP			
			*	Christina River Basin Nutrients	TMDL Plan-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O. (4a)	
				Chesapeake Bay Nutrients/Sediment	Appendix D-Nutrients, Siltation (4a)	
				Christina River Basin Sediment	TMDL Plan-Siltation, Suspended Solids (4a)	
				Indian Spring Run	Appendix E-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O., Siltation (4a)	
				Pequea Creek	Appendix E-Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D.O., Siltation (4a)	
				West Branch Brandywine Creek	Appendix C-PCB (4a)	Water/Flow Variability (4c)
WEST CHESTER BORO	PAG130002	Yes	TMDL Plan	Taylor Run	Appendix E-Siltation (4a)	Cause Unknown (4a), Other Habitat Alterations (4c)
				Plum Run	Appendix E-Siltation (4a)	Water/Flow Variability (4c)
			*	Goose Creek TMDL	TMDL Plan-Nutrients (4a)	Cause Unknown (4a)
				Chester Creek	Appendix B-Pathogens (5), Appendix E-Siltation (5)	Cause Unknown (5), Flow Alterations, Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				Brandywine Creek	Appendix E-Siltation (4a)	
				Blackhorse Run	Appendix E-Siltation (4a)	Other Habitat Alterations, Water/Flow Variability (4c)
WEST GOSHEN TWP	PAI130532	Yes	TMDL Plan, SP, IP	East Branch Chester Creek	Appendix E-Siltation (5)	Cause Unknown (5), Other Habitat Alterations, Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				Broad Run		Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				Chester Creek	Appendix B-Pathogens (5), Appendix E-Siltation (5)	Cause Unknown (5), Flow Alterations, Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				East Branch Brandywine Creek		Cause Unknown (4a), Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				Goose Creek TMDL	TMDL Plan-Nutrients (4a)	Cause Unknown (4a)
				John Smedley Run		Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				Plum Run		Water/Flow Variability (4c)
				Taylor Run		Cause Unknown (4a), Other Habitat Alterations (4c)
				Christina River Basin Sediment	TMDL Plan-Siltation, Suspended Solids (4a)	

APPENDIX D

PAI305	32 - West Goshen Township Parsed	d Areas							
No.	Location	Permit Type	Permit Number	Lot Size (Acre)	Lot Size (Hectare)	Parcel ID	Address	Land Use	Watershed
							800 E VIRGINIA AVE		
1	Schramm Inc.	PAG-03	PAR110059	26.1	10.6	52-5-5	WEST CHESTER, PA 19380	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek
2	Trans Materials Inc.	PAG-03	PA54747	14.2	5.75	52-5-8	831 LINCOLN AVE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380	Hi Density - Mix	Christina River
							110 WESTTOWN RD		
3	Portescap West Chester Plt	PAG-03	PAR110057	3.3	1.34	52-5F-90	WEST CHESTER, PA 19382	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek
	A Duie Pyle West Chester						650 WESTTOWN RD		
4	Facility	PAG-03	PAR800164	21.8	8.82	52-5-156.3	WEST CHESTER, PA 19382	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek
	A Duie Pyle Bolmar Street						830 S BOLMAR ST		
5	Facility	PAG-03	PAG030034	19	7.69	52-5-205	WEST CHESTER, PA 19382	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek
							216 GARFIELD AVE		
6	Rusmar Inc.	PAG-03	PAG030036	6	2.43	52-5B-2	WEST CHESTER, PA 19380	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek
	Safety Kleen West Chester						1140-42 GREENHILL RD		
7	Facility	PAG-03	PAR600058	4.7	1.90	52-3-101	WEST CHESTER, PA 19380-4053	Hi Density - Mix	Christina River
							810 Lincoln Ave.		
8	Metallurgical Prod Co.	PAG-03	PAR200009	5.1	2.06	52-5-32	WEST CHESTER, PA 19380	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek
							898 Fern Hill Road		
9	Eldredge Inc.	PAG-03	PAR800042	2	0.81	52-3-171.3	WEST CHESTER, PA 19380	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek
							700 Old Fern Hill Road		
10	Hain Celestial	PAG-03	PAR120010	9.7	3.93	52-3-173.6	WEST CHESTER, PA 19380	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek
							206 Garfield Ave.		
11	Con-Way Freight	PAG-03	PAR80086	5	2.02	52-5F-6	WEST CHESTER, PA 19381	Hi Density - Mix	Goose Creek

Retrofits		New Development -		
ВМР Туре		BMP Type		
Select BMP Type	•	Select BMP Type	-]
Area Treated (ha)	Existing Area (ha)	Area Developed (ha)	- Area Replaced (ł	na) – Existing Area (ha) -
LD Residential 0	LD Residential 228	LD Residential	Hay/Pasture 0	Hay/Pasture 712
MD Residential 0	MD Residential 2771	MD Residential 0	Cropland 0	Cropland 237
HD Residential	HD Residential 151	HD Residential 0	Forest 0	Forest 1146
LD Mixed	LD Mixed 3	LD Mixed 0	Disturbed 0	Disturbed 230
MD Mixed 0	MD Mixed 496	MD Mixed 0	Turfgrass 0	Turfgrass 58
HD Mixed 0	HD Mixed 1001	HD Mixed 0	Open Land 0	Open Land 0
T-1-1	4050			- Proven
Rainfall Capture	2.54 Run	Rainf	Total 0 all Captured (2.54 cm (cm) th (cm) 7.10	= 1 in)
Rainfall Capture Depth (cm) Volume (m3) Calculated Red TN 0.00 TP	I otal Page 2:54 Run 0 Run uction Efficiency 00 0.00 TSS 0.00	Total j0 Rainf Dep Volu Calcu TN j0	Total J0 all Captured (2.54 cm th (cm) 7.10 me (m3) 0 lated Reduction Effici 00 TP 0.00 T	Total 2383 = 1 in)

Urban Scenario BMP Editor

Baseline Modeled Area Pollutant Load – MapShed Baseline Pollutant Loads by Source for Entire Modeled Area

	Area	Bunoff		Tons	Total Loads (Pounds)				
Source	(Acres)	(in)	Erosion	Sediment	Dissolved N	Total N	Dissolved P	Total P	
Hay/Pasture	1759	1.4	1094.2	129.8	428.4	975.2	103.5	253.2	
Cropland	586	3.6	3780.9	448.4	1367.5	3257.0	85.5	602.6	
Forest	2832	1.1	165.8	19.7	136.4	219.3	7.1	29.8	
Wetland	210	5.2	5.1	0.6	46.4	48.9	2.4	3.1	
Disturbed	568	7.6	208.6	24.7	19.3	123.6	9.5	38.1	
Turfgrass	143	0.9	39.7	4.7	76.5	96.4	5.5	11.0	
Open Land	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Bare Rock	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Sandy Areas	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Unpaved Roads	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
LD Mixed	7	4.3	0.0	0.1	1.1	4.0	0.2	0.4	
MD Mixed	1226	11.9	0.0	54.8	740.1	2429.3	104.9	273.6	
HD Mixed	2474	16.9	0.0	110.7	1493.7	4902.7	211.8	552.2	
LD Residential	563	4.3	0.0	6.3	85.0	303.0	12.0	32.3	
MD Residential	6847	7.2	0.0	306.4	4134.9	13571.9	586.3	1528.6	
HD Residential	373	10.0	0.0	16.7	225.3	739.6	31.9	83.3	
Farm Animals						0.0		0.0	
Tile Drainage				0.0		0.0		0.0	
Stream Bank				3698.8		3897.8	-	1067.0	
Groundwater					42715.0	42715.0	722.8	722.8	
Point Sources					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Septic Systems					3557.8	3557.8	0.0	0.0	
Totals	17588.9	7.10	5294.3	4821.7	55027.5	76841.5	1883.4	5198.0	

Baseline Combined TMDL Planning Area Pollutant Load - MapShed Baseline Load Calculation Results for Planning Area

Watershed Tota	als	Municipal	lity Loads	Regu	llated Loads	Unr	egulated Loads
iew loads for	municipa	lity: West G	ìoshen Twp (8	3080)	•		
		Sed	iment	Nitr	ogen	Phosphorus	
Source	Source Area (ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (Ib/ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (Ib/ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (lb/ac)
Hay/Pasture	457	67407.50	147.50	251.40	0.55	64.00	0.14
Cropland	131	200469.30	1530.30	728.40	5.56	134.90	1.03
Forest	941	13079.90	13.90	75.30	0.08	9.40	0.01
Wetland	40	232.00	5.80	9.20	0.23	0.40	0.01
Disturbed	257	22384.70	87.10	56.50	0.22	18.00	0.07
Turfgrass	25	1645.00	65.80	16.80	0.67	2.00	0.08
Open Land	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bare Rock	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sandy Areas	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Unpaved Roads	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LD Mixed	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MD Mixed	768	68736.00	89.50	1520.60	1.98	169.00	0.22
HD Mixed	1334	119393.00	89.50	2641.30	1.98	293.50	0.22
LD Residential	10	226.00	22.60	5.40	0.54	0.60	0.06
MD Residential	2819	252300.50	89.50	5581.60	1.98	620.20	0.22
HD Residential	57	5101.50	89.50	112.90	1.98	12.50	0.22
Water	86						Source Weighting
Farm Animals				0.0		0.0	0.000
Tile Drainage		0.00		0.0		0.0	0.000
Stream Bank		3048893.88		1606.5		439.8	0.448
Groundwater				17086.0		289.1	0.400
Point Sources				0.0		0.0	0.000
Septic Systems				64.0		0.0	0.018
Totals	6925	3799869.3		29755.9		2053.4	

Combined TMDL Planning Area Baseline Sediment Load = 3,799,869.3 pounds per year

Existing BMP, EX - 01 Pollutant Reduction - MapShed EX-01 BMP Input Exhibit

Retrofits		New Development		
ВМР Туре		BMP Type		
Rain Garden / Bioretentic	n 🗾	Select BMP Type	-	
Area Treated (ha) — LD Residential 0	Existing Area (ha)	Area Developed (ha) - LD Residential	Area Replaced (ha) Hay/Pasture	Existing Area (ha) — Hay/Pasture 712
MD Residential 52.2 HD Residential 0 LD Mixed 0	MD Residential 2771 HD Residential 151 LD Mixed 3	MD Residential 0 HD Residential 0 LD Mixed 0	Cropland 0 Forest 0 Disturbed 0	Cropland 237 Forest 1146 Disturbed 230
MD Mixed JU HD Mixed 0 Total 52	MD Mixed 496 HD Mixed 1001 Total 4650	HD Mixed 0 Total 0	Open Land 0 Total 0	Open Land 0 Total 2383
Rainfall Captured Depth (cm)	d (2.54 cm = 1 in) 2.54 Run 892	Rainfa Dept Volur	all Captured (2.54 cm = 1 h (cm) 7.10 me (m3) 0	in) Run
Calculated Redu TN 0.60 TP	ction Efficiency	Calcul TN 0.	ated Reduction Efficienc	y 0.00
tream Protection -	width (m)	- Street Sweeping -	(0-1) 1 000	Rural BMP Editor
egetative builer stilp	ated (0-1) 0 150	Sweep Type Mechanic	cal C Vacuum	BMP Efficiency Edito
raction of streams tre otal streams in non-a	g areas (km) 66.3	Jan 0 Apr 0	Jul 0 Oct 0	Export to JPEG

Urban Scenario BMP Edito

	Area	Bunoff		Tons		Total Lo	ads (Pounds)	
Source	(Acres)	(in)	Erosion	Sediment	Dissolved N	Total N	Dissolved P	Total P
Hay/Pasture	1759	1.4	1094.2	129.8	428.4	975.2	103.5	253.2
Cropland	586	3.6	3780.9	448.4	1367.5	3257.0	85.5	602.6
Forest	2832	1.1	165.8	19.7	136.4	219.3	7.1	29.8
Wetland	210	5.2	5.1	0.6	46.4	48.9	2.4	3.1
Disturbed	568	7.6	208.6	24.7	19.3	123.6	9.5	38.1
Turfgrass	143	0.9	39.7	4.7	76.5	96.4	5.5	11.0
Open Land	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bare Rock	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sandy Areas	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unpaved Roads	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LD Mixed	7	4.3	0.0	0.1	1.1	4.0	0.2	0.4
MD Mixed	1226	11.9	0.0	54.4	735.7	2414.9	104.2	271.7
HD Mixed	2474	16.9	0.0	109.9	1484.8	4873.6	210.3	548.4
LD Residential	563	4.3	0.0	6.3	84.5	301.2	11.9	32.1
MD Residential	6847	7.2	0.0	304.1	4110.2	13491.1	582.2	1518.0
HD Residential	373	10.0	0.0	16.6	224.0	735.2	31.7	82.7
Farm Animals						0.0		0.0
Tile Drainage				0.0		0.0		0.0
Stream Bank				3674.1		3871.3		1060.4
Groundwater					42715.0	42715.0	722.8	722.8
Point Sources					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Septic Systems					3557.8	3557.8	0.0	0.0
Totals	17588.9	7.10	5294.3	4793.3	54987.7	76684.4	1876.9	5174.2

GWLF Total Loads for file: 5_7.13.17_Goshen_Base-0 Period of analysis: 17 years from 1975 to 1991

EX-01 Sediment Load Reduction = 4821.7 tons - 4793.3 tons = 28.4 tons = 56,800 lbs.

Total Existing BMP Sediment Load Reduction = 56,800 lbs./yr.

Combined TMDL Planning Area Sediment Load = 3,799,969.3 lbs. - 56,800 lbs. = <u>3,743,069.3 lbs.</u>

Existing Pollutant Load for Christina River Basin – Used to calculate remaining Long-term sediment load reductions.

Watershed Tota	als	Municipal	lity Loads	Regu	lated Loads	Unregulated Loads	
iew loads for	municipa	lity: West G	ìoshen Twp (8	3080)	•		
		Sed	iment	Nitr	ogen	Phos	phorus
Source	Source Area (ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (Ib/ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (Ib/ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (Ib/ac)
Hay/Pasture	178	31292.40	175.80	106.80	0.60	28.50	0.16
Cropland	89	144562.70	1624.30	498.40	5.60	97.00	1.09
Forest	662	8672.20	13.10	46.30	0.07	6.60	0.01
Wetland	10	61.00	6.10	2.30	0.23	0.20	0.02
Disturbed	77	7546.00	98.00	17.70	0.23	5.40	0.07
Turfgrass	25	2320.00	92.80	18.00	0.72	2.30	0.09
Open Land	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bare Rock	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sandy Areas	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Unpaved Roads	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LD Mixed	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MD Mixed	79	7244.30	91.70	154.80	1.96	17.40	0.22
HD Mixed	277	25400.90	91.70	542.90	1.96	60.90	0.22
LD Residential	10	235.00	23.50	5.30	0.53	0.60	0.06
MD Residential	937	85922.90	91.70	1836.50	1.96	206.10	0.22
HD Residential	17	1557.20	91.60	33.30	1.96	3.70	0.22
Water	12						Source Weighting
Farm Animals				0.0		0.0	0.000
Tile Drainage		0.00		0.0		0.0	0.000
Stream Bank		513563.06		256.9		74.3	0.392
Groundwater				6397.3		97.3	0.365
Point Sources				0.0		0.0	0.000
Septic Systems				63.0		0.0	0.065
Totals	2373	828377.7		9979.5		600.3	

Existing Pollutant Load for Goose Creek Watershed – Used to calculate remaining Long-term total phosphorus load reductions.

Watershed Tota	als J	Municipality Loads			lated Loads) Unn	egulated Loads
iew loads for	municipa	lity: West G	ìoshen Twp (8	3080)	•		
		Sedi	iment	Nitr	ogen	Phos	phorus
Source	Source Area (ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (Ib/ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (Ib/ac)	Total Load (Ib)	Loading Rate (Ib/ac)
Hay/Pasture	279	30606.30	109.70	147.90	0.53	36.30	0.13
Cropland	42	58254.00	1387.00	249.50	5.94	44.90	1.07
Forest	279	2734.20	9.80	19.50	0.07	2.80	0.01
Wetland	30	132.00	4.40	6.60	0.22	0.30	0.01
Disturbed	183	10833.60	59.20	34.80	0.19	11.00	0.06
Turfgrass	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Open Land	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bare Rock	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sandy Areas	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Unpaved Roads	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LD Mixed	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MD Mixed	689	47472.10	68.90	1336.70	1.94	144.70	0.21
HD Mixed	1058	73002.00	69.00	2052.50	1.94	222.20	0.21
LD Residential	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MD Residential	1883	129738.70	68.90	3653.00	1.94	395.40	0.21
HD Residential	40	2760.00	69.00	77.60	1.94	8.40	0.21
Water	77						Source Weighting
Farm Animals				0.0		0.0	0.000
Tile Drainage		0.00		0.0		0.0	0.000
Stream Bank		1253041.36		832.6		212.3	0.472
Groundwater				10240.8		177.3	0.415
Point Sources				0.0		0.0	0.000
Septic Systems				0.0		0.0	0.000
Totals	4560	1608574.3		18651.5		1255.6	

EXISTING BMP EX-01 DETAILS





P.O. Box 364	1. 1.1.	Ackno	wledge	men
$\begin{array}{c} \text{ripersville, PA 18947} \land \land$	Kent Wi P. L. C	se _	7/14/2009	der Num.
(213) /00-0131 /N NE .	Dicking 13	basin L		001
Township of Goshen 1025 Paoli Pike West Chester, PA 19380 ATTN: Kent Wise RE: Bicking basin				* .
	P.O. No.	Terms	Ship	
		Net 30	U	PS
Eleocharis palustris PL/72	Qty	Rate	То	tai
 Juncus Affusus e P(US < Hibiscus inoscheutos (Swamp Rose Mallow) PL/72 Acorus americana (Sweet Flag) PL/72 Verbena hastata (Blue Vervain) PL/72 Box/Packing Fee Shipping/ Handling Fee (TBD based on UPS Charges) 	72 72 72 72 72 72 72 4			50.40 50.40 50.40 54.00 50.40 40.00
Notes: ① I need a tax exempt form award the 6%. ② We can ship Next week	with a	to me in P.O.	order y	40
(3) Planer fax back w/ sign	nature. et	PØ.		
If your order is correct, please sign and date below and return by FAX 215 744 epoc		Subtotal Sales Tax		

.

G & A CLANTON, INC. **350 LAKE ROAD**

徽

AVONDALE, PA 19311

Cort WOODT

Invoice

Invoice # 10/16/2008 11180

Bill To	
WEST GOSHEN TOWNSHIP 1025 PAOLI PIKE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380	

Ship T	0	
		•

Date

P.O. Numb	Terms	Rep	Ship	Via		F.O.B.	Project
	UPON RECEIPT		10/16/2008				
Quantity	Item Code		Descrip	tion		Price Each	Amount
15.95 6.37	SCREENED TOP SOIL SCREENED TOP SOIL	BY THE 1 BY THE 1	TON ON 10/7/08 TON ON 10/7/08	TICKET #817 TICKET #817	26 36		
	1.20						
, ,					i		
				1			
				r⊲eg.#			
				P.O.#			
2				Amount			_
		nation polici al carte con al co	na ninako dinan kang sant manaka na na pina kalawana	Authorized			
60	ananies mierodziejące administracijas ir statu i statu	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩			arabating a solitoni pan	na an tha an thirth a shake an tar an	
		committe a sum actus or same , so sensity for					
Thank you for ye	our business.	1 1/2 % IN	TEREST AFTER 3	30 DAYS	Toto	. 5	
					TOLA		\$323.65

Phone #	Fax#	E-mail		
610-869-8971	610-869-2485	CLANTONTOPSOIL@AOL.COM		

Pipe Xpress, Inc.	\bigcap			Invoice
West Chester, PA 19380		7	Date	Invoice #
610-918-7120 FAX 610-918-1328			10/10/2008	39168
Bill To		Ship To		
WEST GOSHEN TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 1025 PAOLI PIKE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380)	ROAD DEPT		

Γ	P.O. No.	Terms	Due Date	F	Rep		Ship Via	Ordered -
	VERBAL	2% 10 Net 30	11/9/2008				PICK UP	MARK
ſ	Item	Descr	iption		Ordere	d	Rate	Amount
N	AG24	24 STD METAL GRA	TE Reg. # P.O. # Account # Amount Authorized		1			
	Fhank you for yo	pur business.				Sul Sal To	btotal les Tax () tal	

Pipe Xpress, Inc.

821 East Washington Street West Chester, PA 19380 610-918-7120 FAX 610-918-1328

Bill To

WEST GOSHEN TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS **1025 PAOLI PIKE** WEST CHESTER, PA 19380

	L	-
Ship To		
CUSTOMER PICK U	ЛР	-

Date

9/17/2008

P.O. No.		Terms	Due Date		Rep	Ship Via	Ordered
VERBAL		2% 10 Net 30	10/17/2008			PICK UP	MARK
Item		Descr	iption		Ordere	d Rate	Amount
T2415	24 P	4 X 15 PE DBL WAI E X PE	LL CORR TEE P	ΕX	1	2	
MMCPL18	18	8 MARMAC POLYS	EAL COUPLER		1	-	
Freight	F	RT-IN SHIPPING CH	HARGE		1		
		Reg. # P.O. # Account # Amount Authorized					
Thank you for y	our	business.	aa daansa in ila sha sh			Subtotal	
•					5	Sales Tax ()	50.00
						Fotal	6441208

Invoice

Invoice #

38737



Bill To: West Goshen Township 1025 Paoli Pike West Chester, PA 19380-4699 Phone: (610) 696-5266

Purchase Order #							
00001	L533-00	FY	2008				
Page	Number:	1					

NOTICE TO VENDOR

Purchase order number must appear on all packing slips and invoices in order for invoices to be processed for payment.

Vendor	Ship To:
URS CORPORATION 1200 PHILADELPHIA PIKE	WEST GOSHEN TOWNSHIP ATTN: ADMINISTRATION DEPT
WILMINGTON, DE 19809	1025 PAOLI PIKE WEST CHESTER, PA
Domicihian	19380-4699

00001619

	Date Ordered	Vendor Number	Date Required	Freight Method/	Terms	Departme	nt/Location	
-	L0/21/08	003728				ADMINISTRATIC	DN	
LN		Descrip	tion/Part Nun	ıber	QTY	Cost Each	Ext. Price	
001	Design locatic rehabil stormwa 01446-3	of plant ons for ve itated Bi ter basin 0270	selections getating t cking Driv	and the re	1.0 Each	PO Total		
		anal a summerican a si ba a ka khanan		n shati tettator - a usona su eta	are as a		ner later a called a co	
	APPROVED FOR PURC	and f. C	aig	DATE		PAID BY CHECK #	DATE	_

<u>APPENDIX E</u>

Sediment Wasteload Allocation for West Goshen Township

US EPA (2006). "Total Maximum Daily Loads for Bacteria and Sediment in the Christina River Basin, Pennsylvania, Delaware, and Maryland" (pg. 4-16)

Township	Baseline (ton/yr)	TMDL (ton/yr)	Percent Reduction
BIRMINGHAM TWP	310.81	130.35	58.06%
COATESVILLE CITY	231.29	79.76	65.52%
EAST BRADFORD TWP	1185.00	467.17	60.58%
EAST FALLOWFIELD TWP	803.23	426.42	46.91%
EAST MARLBOROUGH TWP	366.70	139.44	61.98%
HIGHLAND TWP	384.80	238.86	37.93%
HONEY BROOK BORO	20.58	13.23	35.70%
HONEY BROOK TWP	813.84	558.76	31.34%
MODENA BORO	27.96	12.46	55.43%
NEWLIN TWP	144.18	59.59	58.67%
PARKESBURG BORO	52.11	32.35	37.93%
PENNSBURY TWP	113.98	43.48	61.85%
POCOPSON TWP	821.21	320.79	60.94%
SADSBURY TWP	289.73	172.13	40.59%
THORNBURY TWP	82.17	34.46	58.06%
VALLEY TWP	485.14	164.64	66.06%
WALLACE TWP	21.74	17.41	19.92%
WEST BRADFORD TWP	283.22	121.60	57.07%
WEST CALN TWP	68.28	43.07	36.92%
WEST GOSHEN TWP	461.32	180.51	60.87%

Table 4-8. Average annual sediment allocations for towns in Brandywine Creek Watershed

Total phosphorus Wasteload Allocation for West Goshen Township

US EPA (2008). "Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Load in Goose Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania," (pg. 3-6)

Table 3-3: Land Based Non-Poi	nt TP Load ii MS4 Area	1 the Goose (Creek Water	shed by	
MS4 Permit Holder	Area by MS4	Existing TP Load	Allocated TP Load	Required Reduction	
	acres	lb/day	lb/day		
West Goshen Township	1,488	1.16	0.54	53.9%	
West Chester Borough	310	0.24	0.11	53.9%	
Westtown Township	1,791	1.40	0.64	53.9%	
Thornbury Township (Chester County)	772	0.60	0.28	53.9%	
Thornbury Township (Delaware County)	113	0.09	0.04	53.9%	
Total	4,474	3.49	1.61	53.9%	

APPENDIX F

Proposed BMP-01 Pollutant Load Reduction - MapShed BMP-01 Input Exhibit

Retrofits		New Development -		
ВМР Туре		BMP Type		
Rain Garden / Bioretenti	ion 💌	Select BMP Type	•	
Area Treated (ha) -	Existing Area (ha)	Area Developed (ha)	- Area Replaced (ha)
LD Residential 0	LD Residential 228	LD Residential 0	Hay/Pasture 0	Hay/Pasture 712
MD Residential 14.6	MD Residential 2771	MD Residential 0	Cropland 0	Cropland 237
HD Residential 0	HD Residential 151	HD Residential 0	Forest 0	Forest 1146
LD Mixed 0	LD Mixed 3	LD Mixed 0	Disturbed 0	Disturbed 230
MD Mixed 0	MD Mixed 496	MD Mixed 0	Turfgrass 0	Turfgrass 58
HD Mixed 0	HD Mixed 1001	HD Mixed 0	Open Land 0	Open Land 0
Total 15	Total 4650	Total 0	Total 0	Total 2383
Depth (cm)	d (2.54 cm = 1 in)	Rainf Dep	all Captured (2.54 cm = 1 th (cm) 7.10	l in) Run
Calculated Red	d (2.54 cm = 1 in) 2.54 0 uction Efficiency 0.00 TSS 0.00	Calcu	all Captured (2.54 cm = ⁻ th (cm) 7.10 ime (m3) 0 ilated Reduction Efficier .00 TP 0.00 TSS	1 in) Bun Icy 5 0.00

Urban Scenario BMP Editor

Proposed BMP - 01 Pollutant Reduction - MapShed Pollutant Loads by Source for Entire Modeled Area w/ BMP-01

	Area	Bunoff		Tons		Total Lo	ads (Pounds)	
Source	(Acres)	(in)	Erosion	Sediment	Dissolved N	Total N	Dissolved P	Total P
Hay/Pasture	1759	1.4	1094.2	129.8	428.4	975.2	103.5	253.2
Cropland	586	3.6	3780.9	448.4	1367.5	3257.0	85.5	602.6
Forest	2832	1.1	165.8	19.7	136.4	219.3	7.1	29.8
Wetland	210	5.2	5.1	0.6	46.4	48.9	2.4	3.1
Disturbed	568	7.6	208.6	24.7	19.3	123.6	9.5	38.1
Turfgrass	143	0.9	39.7	4.7	76.5	96.4	5.5	11.0
Open Land	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bare Rock	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sandy Areas	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unpaved Roads	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LD Mixed	7	4.3	0.0	0.1	1.1	4.0	0.2	0.4
MD Mixed	1226	11.9	0.0	54.8	740.1	2429.3	104.9	273.6
HD Mixed	2474	16.9	0.0	110.7	1493.7	4902.7	211.8	552.2
LD Residential	563	4.3	0.0	6.3	85.0	303.0	12.0	32.3
MD Residential	6847	7.2	0.0	306.4	4134.9	13571.9	586.3	1528.6
HD Residential	373	10.0	0.0	16.7	225.3	739.6	31.9	83.3
Farm Animals						0.0		0.0
Tile Drainage				0.0		0.0		0.0
Stream Bank				3691.9		3888.9	-	1064.8
Groundwater					42715.0	42715.0	722.8	722.8
Point Sources					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Septic Systems					3557.8	3557.8	0.0	0.0
Totals	17588.9	7.10	5294.3	4814.8	55027.5	76832.7	1883.4	5195.8

BMP-01 Sediment Load Reduction = 4821.7 tons - 4814.8 tons = 6.9 tons = 13,800 lbs.

Retrofits		New Development		
ВМР Туре		BMP Type		
Rain Garden / Bioretentio	on 💌	Select BMP Type	•	
Area Treated (ha) -	Existing Area (ha) —	Area Developed (ha)	- Area Replaced (ha)	Existing Area (ha) -
LD Residential 0	LD Residential 228	LD Residential 0	Hay/Pasture 0	Hay/Pasture 712
MD Residential 12.1	MD Residential 2771	MD Residential 0	Cropland 0	Cropland 237
HD Residential 0	HD Residential 151	HD Residential 0	Forest 0	Forest 1146
LD Mixed	LD Mixed 3	LD Mixed 0	Disturbed 0	Disturbed 230
MD Mixed 0	MD Mixed 496	MD Mixed 0	Turfgrass 0	Turfgrass 58
HD Mixed	HD Mixed 1001	HD Mixed 0	Open Land 0	Open Land 0
Total 12	Total 4650	Total 0	Total 0	Total 2383
Volume (m3)	598	Volu	Ime (m3) 0	cy
Calculated Redu	0.70 TSS 0.75	TN O	.00 TP 0.00 TSS	0.00
Calculated Redu TN 0.60 TP	0.70 TSS 0.75	TN D	.00 TP 0.00 TSS	0.00
Calculated Redu TN 0.60 TP tream Protection - egetative buffer strip	0.70 TSS 0.75 width (m) 10.7	TN 0	1.00 TP 0.00 TSS	0.00 Rural BMP Editor
Calculated Redu TN 0.60 TP tream Protection – egetative buffer strip raction of streams tre	0.70 TSS 0.75 width (m) 10.7 ated (0-1) 0.150	TN D Street Sweeping Fraction of area treated Sweep Type I Mechan	100 TP 0.00 TSS d (0-1) 1.000 ical C Vacuum	Rural BMP Editor
Calculated Redu TN 0.60 TP tream Protection – egetative buffer strip raction of streams tre	0.70 TSS 0.75 width (m) 10.7 ated (0-1) 0.150	TN D Street Sweeping Fraction of area treated Sweep Type @ Mechan Times/r	100 TP 0.00 TSS	Rural BMP Editor BMP Efficiency Editor Export to JPEG
Calculated Redu TN 0.60 TP tream Protection – egetative buffer strip raction of streams tre otal streams in non-a	0.70 TSS 0.75 width (m) 10.7 ated (0-1) 0.150 g areas (km) 66.3	Street Sweeping Fraction of area treated Sweep Type • Mechan Times/r Jan 0 Apr 0	100 TP 0.00 TSS	0.00 Rural BMP Editor BMP Efficiency Edito Export to JPEG Save File
Calculated Redu TN 0.60 TP tream Protection – egetative buffer strip raction of streams tre otal streams in non-a treams w/bank stabil	0.70 TSS 0.75 width (m) 10.7 ated (0-1) 0.150 g areas (km) 66.3 ization (km) 0.0	TN 0 Street Sweeping Fraction of area treated Sweep Type Mechan Times/r Jan 0 Apr 0 Feb 0 May 0 May 0	100 TP 0.00 TSS	BMP Efficiency Editor BMP Efficiency Editor Export to JPEG Save File

Proposed BMP - 02 Pollutant Reduction - MapShed Pollutant Loads by Source for Entire Modeled Area w/ BMP-02

	Area	Bunoff		Tons		Total Lo	ads (Pounds)	
Source	(Acres)	(in)	Erosion	Sediment	Dissolved N	Total N	Dissolved P	Total P
Hay/Pasture	1759	1.4	1094.2	129.8	428.4	975.2	103.5	253.2
Cropland	586	3.6	3780.9	448.4	1367.5	3257.0	85.5	602.6
Forest	2832	1.1	165.8	19.7	136.4	219.3	7.1	29.8
Wetland	210	5.2	5.1	0.6	46.4	48.9	2.4	3.1
Disturbed	568	7.6	208.6	24.7	19.3	123.6	9.5	38.1
Turfgrass	143	0.9	39.7	4.7	76.5	96.4	5.5	11.0
Open Land	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bare Rock	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sandy Areas	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unpaved Roads	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LD Mixed	7	4.3	0.0	0.1	1.1	4.0	0.2	0.4
MD Mixed	1226	11.9	0.0	54.8	739.1	2426.0	104.8	273.2
HD Mixed	2474	16.9	0.0	110.5	1491.6	4896.0	211.4	551.3
LD Residential	563	4.3	0.0	6.3	84.9	302.6	11.9	32.3
MD Residential	6847	7.2	0.0	305.9	4129.1	13553.2	585.3	1526.2
HD Residential	373	10.0	0.0	16.7	225.0	738.5	31.9	83.2
Farm Animals						0.0		0.0
Tile Drainage				0.0		0.0		0.0
Stream Bank				3693.0		3891.2		1064.8
Groundwater					42715.0	42715.0	722.8	722.8
Point Sources					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Septic Systems					3557.8	3557.8	0.0	0.0
Totals	17588.9	7.10	5294.3	4815.1	55018.3	76804.6	1881.9	5191.8

GWLF Total Loads for file: 7 7.13.17 Goshen Base-0 Period of analysis: 17 years from 1975 to 1991

BMP-02 Sediment Load Reduction = 4821.7 tons - 4815.1tons = 6.6 tons = 13,200 lbs.

BMP-03 Stream Restoration Sediment Load Reduction Calculation

1,150 ft. x 115 lbs./ft. = 132,250 lbs. sediment reduction

BMP-04 Stream Restoration Sediment Load Reduction Calculation

1,725 ft. x 115 lbs./ft. = 198,375 lbs. sediment reduction

Proposed BMP-04 Pollutant Load Reduction - MapShed BMP-04 Input Exhibit for bioretention only

Retrofits		New Development		
ВМР Туре		BMP Type		
Rain Garden / Bioretentio	on 🔄	Select BMP Type	•	
Area Treated (ha) -	Existing Area (ha)-			
LD Residential	LD Residential 228	LD Residential 0	Hay/Pasture 0	Hay/Pasture 712
MD Residential 18.4	MD Residential 2771	MD Residential 0	Cropland 0	Cropland 237
HD Residential 0	HD Residential 151	HD Residential 0	Forest 0	Forest 1146
LD Mixed	LD Mixed 3	LD Mixed 0	Disturbed 0	Disturbed 230
MD Mixed 0	MD Mixed 496	MD Mixed 0	Turfgrass 0	Turfgrass 58
HD Mixed	HD Mixed 1001	HD Mixed 0	Open Land 0	Open Land 0
Total 18	Total 4650	Total 0	Total 0	Total 2383
Volume (m3)	0044	Voli	ume (m3) 0 -	
Calculated Redu TN 0.65 TP	Inction Efficiency		ulated Reduction Efficient	-y
Calculated Redu TN 0.65 TP	Iction Efficiency	Calce TN [ulated Reduction Efficient	Cy [0.00
Calculated Redu TN 0.65 TP	iction Efficiency 0.76 TSS 0.82 width (m) 10.7	Street Sweeping Fraction of area treate	ulated Reduction Efficient	D.00 Rural BMP Editor
Calculated Redu TN 0.65 TP	width (m) 10.7 0.76 TSS 0.82	Calco TN [Street Sweeping Fraction of area treate Sweep Type • Mechan	ulated Reduction Efficient .00 TP 0.00 TSS d (0-1) 1.000 nical C Vacuum	0.00 Rural BMP Editor BMP Efficiency Editor
Calculated Redu TN 0.65 TP	width (m) 10.7 ated (0-1) 0.150	Calcu TN C Street Sweeping Fraction of area treate Sweep Type I Mechar Times/	ulated Reduction Efficient DO TP 0.00 TSS d (0-1) 1.000 nical C Vacuum month	Rural BMP Editor BMP Efficiency Editor
Calculated Redu TN 0.65 TP tream Protection – egetative buffer strip raction of streams tre otal streams in non-a	width (m) 10.7 ated (0-1) 0.150 og areas (km) 66.3	Street Sweeping Fraction of area treate Sweep Type • Mechar Times/ Jan 0 Apr 0	ulated Reduction Efficient .00 TP 0.00 TSS d (0-1) 1.000 nical C Vacuum month Jul 0 Oct 0	Rural BMP Editor BMP Efficiency Editor Export to JPEG
Calculated Redu TN 0.65 TP tream Protection – egetative buffer strip raction of streams tre otal streams in non-a treams w/bank stabil	width (m) 10.7 ated (0-1) 0.150 og areas (km) 66.3 ization (km) 0.0	Calco TN C Fraction of area treate Sweep Type (* Mechar Times/ Jan () Apr () Feb () May () May ()	ulated Reduction Efficient .00 TP 0.00 TSS d (0-1) 1.000 nical C Vacuum month Jul 0 Oct 0 Aug 0 Nov 0	Rural BMP Editor BMP Efficiency Editor Export to JPEG Save File

Proposed BMP - 04 Pollutant Reduction - MapShed Pollutant Loads by Source for Entire Modeled Area w/ BMP-04 bioretention only

	Area	Bunoff		Tons		Total Lo	ads (Pounds)	
Source	(Acres)	(in)	Erosion	Sediment	Dissolved N	Total N	Dissolved P	Total P
Hay/Pasture	1759	1.4	1094.2	129.8	428.4	975.2	103.5	253.2
Cropland	586	3.6	3780.9	448.4	1367.5	3257.0	85.5	602.6
Forest	2832	1.1	165.8	19.7	136.4	219.3	7.1	29.8
Wetland	210	5.2	5.1	0.6	46.4	48.9	2.4	3.1
Disturbed	568	7.6	208.6	24.7	19.3	123.6	9.5	38.1
Turfgrass	143	0.9	39.7	4.7	76.5	96.4	5.5	11.0
Open Land	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bare Rock	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sandy Areas	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unpaved Roads	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LD Mixed	7	4.3	0.0	0.1	1.1	4.0	0.2	0.4
MD Mixed	1226	11.9	0.0	54.7	738.4	2423.8	104.7	272.9
HD Mixed	2474	16.9	0.0	110.4	1490.3	4891.6	211.2	550.7
LD Residential	563	4.3	0.0	6.3	84.8	302.3	11.9	32.2
MD Residential	6847	7.2	0.0	305.5	4125.5	13541.1	584.7	1524.6
HD Residential	373	10.0	0.0	16.6	224.8	737.9	31.9	83.1
Farm Animals						0.0		0.0
Tile Drainage				0.0		0.0		0.0
Stream Bank				3689.9		3886.7	-	1064.8
Groundwater					42715.0	42715.0	722.8	722.8
Point Sources					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Septic Systems					3557.8	3557.8	0.0	0.0
Totals	17588.9	7.10	5294.3	4811.4	55012.3	76780.6	1880.9	5189.2

BMP-04 Bioretention Sediment Load Reduction = 4821.7 tons - 4811.4 tons = 10.3 tons = 20,600 lbs.

Total Short-term sediment load reduction = 378,225<u>lbs./yr.</u>

Long-term Pollutant Goal Calculations

Total Short-term sediment load reduction

• 378,225 lbs./yr.

Goose Creek 5% TP reduction equals 10% TSS reduction

- Goose Creek sediment load = 1,608,574.3 lbs
- 10% TSS reduction = 1,608,574.3 lbs x 0.1 = 160,857.4 lbs.
- 5% TP reduction achieved = 1,255.6 lbs. x 0.05 = 62.8 lbs.

Remaining sediment load reduction

• 378,225 lbs. - 160,857.4 lbs. = 217,367.6 lbs

Christina River Basin sediment reduction from Short-term BMPs

• 217,367.6 lbs. ÷ 828,377.7 lbs. = 0.26 x 100 = 26%